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Abstract

This paper studies on the Maqasid al-Shariah philosophy with the aim establish an appropriate Islamic monetary regime in achieving the aspiration of Shariah that promote inclusive and sustainable growth of the ecosystem. From the analysis, this study shows that Islamic monetary mechanism and instruments as well as Islamic financial institutions (IFIs) operations are still exposed to the practice that are prohibited in Islam and also influenced by monetary policy tools and transmission channels set by the Central Bank. The study supports and affirms the establishment of an Islamic monetary system that transmits the monetary policy through channels with interest free and risk sharing Islamic instruments. For that, an equitable distribution of wealth for social Islamic justice as well as a balance inclusive and sustainable economic well-being would be attained.
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A. Introduction

Having made the Maqasid al-Shariah as a benchmark to fulfilling the objectives of Islamic teaching, the study of monetary regime in Islamic finance emanating from Maqasid al-Shariah is particularly important to ensure inclusive and sustainable growth in the economy. Theoretically in the academic, governments create money and central bank controls monetary policy. However, that is not always the case in practice. Many studies have shown that most money currently in existence is created by the private banks, through the process of creating financial product based on interest. The production of interest based debt is intimately linked to the money creation in the modern financial system (Zaman, 2015).

Given its vital association with all the Islamic sciences, the concept of Maqasid al-Shariah has turned into an authentic structure for creating theories, models, approaches and plans of change in different parts of life. Vitally, financial improvement has likewise gotten some Maqasid-based intercessions as of late, a standout amongst the most imperative of this being Chapra's (2008) work. Two essential inquiries should be tended to with respect to the implications of the Maqasid on monetary discourse and particularly in accordance with financial improvement; what part can the Maqasid play in understanding financial issues, breaking down financial marvels and figuring financial strategies? What significance does the concept of Maqasid have in the investigation of financial advancement in the Islamic point of view?
This paper covers on the structure of monetary system from the conventional perspective related to its objective, roles of central bank and monetary policy tools and monetary transmission mechanism. The theory of Maqasid al-Shariah is elaborated with its concept, essence and the relationship between Maqasid al-Shariah and the monetary system in arriving at the Maqasid al-Shariah framework.

A.1. Research Methodology

This paper is subjective and theoretical in nature. The researcher conducts the substance examination technique by readings the past writings and written works identified with the topic. This study applies both the inductive and deductive strategies and also the scientific technique to look at and investigate the applicable literary works and build up the connection amongst Maslahah(benefit) and Shariah outcome with the standard structure of framework assessment (systematic inquiry). Hence, this study utilises the content analysis method into the monetary regime respectively to the objective of the law (Maqasid al-Shariah). The characterizations of monetary system and instruments are evaluated peculiar to the essence of maslahah and the downside of the mafsadah(mischief).

B. Literature Review: The Philosophy of Maqasid Al-Shariah

The term Maqasidal-Shariah is a compound word framed from two words, "maqāsid" (sing. maqsid or maqsa) and al-Shariah. The word "maqsid" in the Arabic wordlist implies reason, goal or shrewdness. The second word, "al-Shariah" in its limited use alludes to the Islamic Law. Al-Raysuni (2013) states that "What is implied by Maqasid al-Shariah is its motivation or objective, and the basic reasons which the Lawgiver includes put inside each of its decisions". Ibn Ashur (2013) presents what can be depicted as a far-reaching meaning of Maqasid al-Shariah. He says that the Islamic legislation’s general purpose comprise of more profound implications and internal parts of knowledge considered by the Lawgiver in all or the greater part of the areas and conditions of enactment. They are not kept to a specific sort of the Shariah orders. Accordingly, they incorporate the general attributes of the Shariah, its broadly useful and whatever ideas thought about by the legislation. They incorporate certain implications and thoughts that are available in many of the Shariah command. Subsequent reviewing different meanings of the term, including those given by IbnAshur and Al-Raysuni characterizes Maqasid al-Shariah as basically "the reasons which the law was set up to satisfy for the benefit of humankind".

The proposition of Maqasid al-Shariah has been developed over hundreds of years from negligible scattered explanations of the usul al-fiqh books to a specific, well-structured theory of Islamic jurisprudence. The fundamental insight and aims of Shariah references were frequently made in usul al-fiqh works since from the time of Imam al-Shafi‘i who is the organizer of the art of usul al-fiqh until the contemporary time. The theory of Maqasid al-Shariah and its definition was made known during the season of Abu al-Ma‘ali al-Juwaini (d.476 AH). Al-Juwaini expounded on a basic five fundamental necessities. Then, Abu-Hamid al-Ghazali (d.505 AH) revamped, and systemised them respectively (Al-Yubi, 1433 AH).
Through inductive examining of the directions of the Qur’an and Sunnah, scholars deduced that there are shrewd purposes in every ruling of the Shariah and it can be summed up in two reasons; magnetising benefit, and guard from impairment of humankind. It is in accordance with this that Ibn al-Qayyim (2009) states that: “The Shariah is based on the founding of intelligence and individuals' welfare in this world and the hereafter. It is about equity, benevolence, prosperity and insight.”

C. Analysis: Maqasid al-Shariah and Monetary Regime

There are different motivations to keep up that the Maqasid al-Shariah are vital to all financial examination, particularly as they identify with the subject of deprivation, possessions transference and monetary improvement. As observed above, in every one of discourse concerning the Maqasid al-Shariah, all researchers, legal scholars and jurist, from the time of al-Juwaini (476 AH) and followed by al-Ghazali (d.505 AH), are consistent on the way that safeguarding and distribution of possessions is among the major goals of the Shariah close by the security of religion, life, offspring and astuteness. Islam considers asset to be a vital element for human survival on earth (Qur’an 4:5). Possessions remains as a focal prerequisite for the satisfaction of a number of the central commitments of Islam.

The whole targets of the Shariah can be summed up in the statement "the accomplishment of benefits (maslahah) and the aversion of mischief (mafsadah)" (Al-Raysuni, 2013). In the financial consciousness, what the Shariah stands is to dispense with all types of monetary improprieties, for example, poverty, unemployment, underemployment among people and to escalate the accessible assets for the general public in order to attain a sustainable living. The Shariah predisposition required to favour financial environment that would ensure the achievement of the prosperity of mankind in harmless manner.

The motive of Shariah in connection to economic dispersion and the accountability insuring justice for all individuals. It is to safeguards and prevents any type of deceiving, misrepresentation and injustice in financial dealings. Taking into the picture of riba and gambling correspondingly endorses some financial dues and benefits in the expense of all individuals unreasonably. As Quraishi & Kamali (2000) states that the Shariah supports real economic activity and mutual agreement in trade purposely to empower a person to gain an appropriate living, and guarantee the flow stream of business commerce. The Shariah has allowed every genuine mechanism and procedures that are required for development and enhanced success to individuals. Any abusive activities that can obstructing to human thriving have been announced unlawful. Monetary advancement with the respect with lawful and fair procedures in managing financial and asset is essential for the improvement of human life basically connected to the Maqasid.

Chapra(2009) presents that the goals (Maqasid) of the Islamic monetary application are a) financial prosperity within the framework of the ethical standards; b) fraternity and equity inclusion; c) just and fair pay; and d) flexibility, equality and social welfare. Faridi (1983), referred to in Mohammad & Shahwan (2013), presents that the objectives of the Islamic monetary framework are equity and value; arrangement of the financial needs of the people or
financial wellbeing; improvement of the people's monetary assets or financial development; and change in the social milieu of the community.

The re-emergence of Islamic economics, finance and banking for the past three decades, has driven scholars to advance an inclusive hypothetical structure of modern Islamic monetary economics (Uddin, 2016). There is an obvious different between the Islamic concept of finance and the conventional finance. Islamic finance is a combination of two words; finance reflects the financial system currently practiced and by adding Islamic, make it provisional to something that governs the whole life and the fate of life in hereafter prescribed by the Islamic teaching. However, the dilemma is Islamic finance, throughout the current practice observed to be deviated from what is propounded by Islamic concept and spirit supposedly for instance efficiency and fairness; rather emphasis to mere label mechanics. In Islam, the Shariah law is constructed on the basis of wisdom and human wellbeing in this world and hereafter (maslahah fi al-dunyawa al-akhirah). It is all about fairness, compassion, welfare and prudence. Anything diverges from fairness to tyranny, from kindness to disservice, from happiness to despair and from wisdom to imprudence, is not in accordance with the Shariah framework (Lamido, 2016). Indeed, Maqasid al-Shariah as the higher objective of the shariah is the best approach to test on the Islamicity of monetary system and firmly cannot depart from something claimed to be Islamic.


The roles and functions of money are the main substances in this misconception. Money in Islam is observed as a medium of exchange and unit of measure (Ismail & Tohirin, 2010). (Usmani, 2010) pointed that money is a means to achieve definite objectives because it is not the objective itself. Money in itself has no inherent utility. Therefore, money cannot be deliberated as capital (M ≠ K); which is consistently associated with the risk component in a business. Money also cannot be restrained as a commodity (or asset) (M ≠ C) to permit tradability as it is just a consideration in the deal even if the form of money is commodity money such as gold, silver even other valuable commodity. Money needs to be combined into capital by legal arrangement if the motivation of money transacted for profit making. It should also assume the risk inherent with the project/property M♂L→K (Toutounchian, 2006). Furthermore, it must be substituted to a commodity/asset in order to assume the intrinsic utility
transformation function.

Money is a fundamental subject in economics for the functioning of financial system. From the Islamic perspective, money is for medium of trade and custom for economic value measurement. Money is an abstract that cannot be traded or something that is likely to produce yields without economic undertakings. The divine quotation on the anomaly between trade and riba is clearly need to be enlighten. If money is intended to be traded with money, the Islamic ruling on *ri₇̱āwî* (usurious) transaction need to be observed (Omar, 2011). As what being claimed by the Quran in verse 2; 275, even immediately after the verse read, the moral obligation to be adhered trade and riba transaction. Islam taught that such a *ri₇̱āwî* deal must take place with the situation that it is on spot basis (hand to hand) and for an equivalent amount (Sahih Muslim, hadith no. 3854) (Al-Hajjaj & Siddiqui, 2009). Within the context of conventional system, money and financial transactions traded money with money on a deferred basis, and the repayment of loaned money with an extra money over the loaned amount is put into practise (Anwar, 2003).

Toutounchian (2002) contended that when money is transformed into some form of capital or investing funds, any profit is legitimised or otherwise it is just ‘money producing money’. Thus, he solidified as the spirit of lending with interest, referring to the difference between interest as a charge for money and a profit as a return from investment of capital. Khan and Mirakhor, (1994) assert: “It is an error of modern theory to treat interest as the price of, or return for capital. Money is not capital, not even representative of capital. It is only potential capital which requires the service of the entrepreneur to transform the potentiality into reality; the lender has nothing to do with the transformation of money into capital and with using it productively” (Shaukat & Alhabshi, 2015).

The substance of money therefore is a value in the instinctively social convention in the form of a thing that are valuable, portability, durability, divisibility, considerably limited in supply and uniformity but inseparable from its physical or numerical form.

**D. Discussion:**

**D.1. Institutional Roles and Monetary Policy**

The purposes of the Central Bank are to ensure financial stability, execution of provident policy of liquidity, smooth running of payment and settlement systems and as a lender of last resort (Bank of International Settlements, 2014). The Central Bank also sets the interest rate level that allows banks to limit their debt level in the interbank money market. This exercise is to avoid insolvency crisis and to ensure the smooth operational of the payment system (Ponsot & Rossi, 2009). The Central Bank performing the lender of last resort in the event of emergency conditions, in which the Central Bank will lend out as ample as needed of money to ensure the banks to meet their cash commitments to their depositors.

Central bank uses the interest rates, open market operations, reserve requirement, discount window lending, and currency board as monetary policy tools. The monetary authority will alleviate price by increasing or decreasing the interest rate to control or inflate the money supply. If the interest rates are higher it will boost savings and deter borrowing; and if the interest rates are lower, it
will invite investment borrowing. Both of these effects can either lessen or inflate the growth of the money supply.

There are four main monetary transmission channels: (i) the interest rate channel can be utilized for expansionary as well as contractionary policy. It can influence investment, property price and consumer expenditures; (ii) the asset price channel in an expansion monetary policy will require to higher equity prices which may cause investment more attractive. Higher equity prices will also lead to upsurge wealth, increases spending and increases aggregate demand; (iii) the exchange rate channel affect both supply and demand aggregate; (iv) the monetary and credit channel. The classical monetarist view is that money either narrow money or broad money aggregates regulate the price of assets, goods and its relation with long run inflation. While Bernanke & Gertler (1995) believes that credit channel could effect on price and output level (Loayza & Schmidt-Hebbel, 2002).


Some analyses have been done to categorize the relationship between money supply and output, interest rates and prices/inflation. However, the argument is still inadequate where some researchers like Thornton & Batten (1985), (King, 1986), Mankiw, Romer, & Weil (1992), Sims, (2013) stated that there was an impact of the money supply on output, interest rate and prices. Provisionally others like Fiege and Pearce (1979), Sims (1980b), Diaz-Alejandro (1985), Geweke (1986), Friedman & Kuttner (1993) proposed that money was neutral (Tan& Baharumshah, 1999). In a nutshell, the monetary policy can be spread to various channels and it is also open to many challenges such as fluctuations in the global economy, environment, exchange rate depreciation, fall of the commodities prices, changes in the capital and shock beyond a control of the central bank.

D.2. Deliberation of Islamic Monetary System

Most Fuqaha agree that the bank interest rate is equivalent to *riba*. Therefore, scholars believe that Islamic economics can be achieved by removing
interest rates from the conventional economy. Islamic banks acquire four main characteristics: risk sharing; asset backed or asset based; no exploitation; and financing of non-sinful activity (El-Hawary et al., 2004). In terms of risk sharing, Islamic banks centre upon profit and loss sharing as opposed to conventional banks that uphold interest rates (Ariff, 1989).

Nonetheless Islamic banking is a modern concept, and therefore removing interest rates from modern Islamic banking is not an easy task, as Islamic banks are based on the conventional national monetary policy where interest rates are the main mechanism to control the money supply and inflation. Hence, the modern Islamic banks have no other choice but to benchmark against the interest rates of the conventional banks to compete in the market.

The effects of benchmarking include the high cost of funds incurred by the Islamic banks. Even though most of the Islamic banks’ products are Shariah compliant, the true spirit of Islamic finance cannot be achieved because the Islamic banks’ profit rates follow the rates of the conventional banks (Chapra, 1985). It is also important to stress that many Muslim scholars agree that riba is not only related to increased (double or multiple) amounts of money charged by the lender, but whether the amount charged is justifiable as long as the transaction shall not contain the elements of exploitation, oppression, unfairness and uncertainty (Azahari, 2009). In practice, however, Islamic banks’ reference to riba is justified on the grounds that they are relatively new, compared to conventional banks which have already been in operation for more than 200 years.


According to Chapra (1990) and Ahmad (2008), the functions and roles of the Central Banks in Islamic economics are similar to the conventional system, adhere to the fractional reserve system as well as credit creation. Choudhry & Mirakhor (1997) proposed using the equity-based government securities as the indirect instruments of monetary control with imposition of the bank credit ceiling, and statutory liquidity ratios. In addition, Toutouchian (2009) suggested the integration of money and banking based on Islamic principles into the capitalism system by using legal combination (such as contract of sales) to enable of integrating money into capital. In 2012, Mat Sari & Mirakhor proposed equity premium based on risk sharing instruments to substitute interest rate for
monetary policy in Malaysia. While Hasan (2016), suggested Leverage Control Rate (LCR) as a proxy of PLS. This can be possibly filling the gap addressed earlier by Al-Jarhii(2013). The suggestion was not to make major structural changes or infrastructural changes or to reform the conventional system but to provide an alternative of Shariah compliance monetary policy framework for Malaysia (Mat Sari & Mirakhor, 2012). Nevertheless, it may be practical in the banking system but may not be applicable for non-bank financial institutions. The question still unreciprocated on how to tackle source of interest i.e. the fractional reserve that enable banks to create and multiplying money in the system. In the event of proposed Islamic system be implemented, fractional reserve remains even after the Islamization of the financial system. Ahmad & Ismail (2017) analysed that full reserve system with the support from Quran and Hadith conceals the injustice and riba’ hence achieving the Maqasid Shariah.

Contemporary scholars deliberated on the interest free banking system including modifications of the functions of the Central Banks in the Islamic economics. However, the practice still within the existing conventional structure of the commercial banks and the Central Bank in the capitalist system. Approaches in the Islamization of the banking and financial system done by replicating of the existing instruments of the conventional system monetary policy. The concept and application were still within the framework of the conventional banking system. Most of the contemporary Islamic scholars recommended some modifications to the existing structure because their understanding on the meaning and impression of the monetary policy covered the roles, functions, tools and instruments of the Central Banks.

D.3. Maqasid al-Shariah based monetary regime

The piecemeal approach of Islamising and mimicking the conventional banks’ products and services has not only proven far from reaching social justice and developmentalism in an aspirational sense, but has highlighted the fact that Islamic banks are closely following conventional banks in their operations by providing more loans and financing by utilizing sales principals of Islamic finance rather than asset-based profit-loss-sharing instruments. The creation of more loans and debts contributes to the spread of interest rates in the banking system. Since the amount of debt created in the bank is more than the money supply in the system, it may lead to a crisis during a downturn in the economy, because there will be default in payment due to less currency in circulation compared to the amount of debt.

One of the major hindrances to operate ‘Islamically’ is that the Islamic banks are subjected to the same monetary system of the conventional framework. The principal policy is governed by the conventional monetary system and managed by bankers with a conventional mentality. One recommendation or measure that may help to increase the value of Islamic banks in becoming more Islamic is that it needs to have its own Islamic monetary policy where interest rates should not be the main instrument or mechanism in transmitting the policy. Accordingly, the calculation of the Islamic banks’ profit needs to be based on the market rates. This requires entirely a different mind-set, which aims to consider Islamic political economy as a system, rather than Islamic banking as an option. This study suggests that in a dual banking system it should have a twofold
system, where if there is a conventional and Islamic bank then it should have an Islamic monetary policy for the Islamic banks. In Malaysia, except for the absence of Islamic monetary policy, there is almost a complete setup for the Islamic banking industry, which includes an Islamic money market and Islamic capital market. In this industry, the objective of the Islamic banking system is to remove *riba*, *maisir* and *gharar* in the transaction. However, if the main policy governing Islamic banks is based on interest rates, it is difficult to expect Islamic banks to achieve the objective of *Maqasid al-shari‘ah*.

Islamic banks should remove all controversial contracts or products such as *al-bay al-inah*, *tawarruq* and *al-bay al-dayn*. By allowing *al-bay al-dayn* contract and implementing a fictitious system in awarding *tawarruq* contracts and *al-bay al-inah* contracts, it is not only tarnishing the image of Islamic banking, but Islamic finance and Islam as a religion. The *Shari‘ah* scholars should not allow tricks (*hilah*) or legal stratagem (*hiyar*) to justify the means.

The determination of the profit based on the cost of funds for the Islamic bank should not include rates of interest or benchmark against conventional banks’ interest rates. Even though there is a lack of discussion about Islamic monetary system, it should not be limited to the activity of the central banks and the commercial banks. The broader context of Islamic monetary system should include not only the commercial sector (*tijari*) but also the social sector (*ijtimai*). Furthermore, without eliminating the elements of *riba*, *ghurar* and *maysir*, and mobilizing Islamic fiscal instruments such as *zakah*, *waqf* and *sadaqah* along other institutions, Islamic monetary system will not able to achieve justice (*al-‘adalah*) in terms of distribution of wealth in the society. Most modern Muslim scholars believe that the banking system is the best platform to apply the principles of Islamic finance and expect to achieve justice by modifying the financing terms and contracts of the conventional banks for example profit rate or rate of return.

As mentioned earlier, the objective of the Islamic monetary policy aims at achieving social justice in conducting financing and loans. Therefore, Islamic banks should provide financing for development projects and should also consider productive sectors in their financing rather than mainly focusing on financial sectors and real estate sectors. Islamic banks also shall consider financing project that are environmentally friendly and contributes to sustainable development. Applying the Islamic instrument is no longer the issue. Many of the conventional monetary instruments are also Islamic and some need modification. As outlined by Chapra (1985) that one of the objectives of Islamic monetary policy is to ensure equal distribution of wealth. However, current monetary policy system unable to do that and it requires implementation of prudent fiscal policies. Hence, it is important to stress that justice cannot be achieved by establishing more Islamic banks. Some scholars suggest that Islamic banks need to be more responsible and ‘Islamic’ by applying the true concept of corporate social responsibility (CSR) to close such gap. The proposal is by combining the functions of a social or ethical bank with Islamic banks within the banking framework thereby inclusive and sustainable growth would be able to materialised.

By becoming truly Islamic, then the Islamic bank automatically become exceedingly ethical and serve the community as a whole rather than only obliging to the shareholders. The recent implementation of the Value-Based intermediation in the case of Malaysian banking system has marked an
important milestone for future Islamic banking to follow through.

E. Conclusion

In conclusion, justice in society will be established by the elimination of *riba* in the financial system; it cannot be achieved merely by changing the term ‘interest rates’ to profit rates and through ‘Islamization’ of conventional bank products and services. Furthermore, an Islamic economic system cannot be attained by establishing only ‘Islamic banks’ but it can be realized in a society that aims to provide a general system of Islamic political economy and achieves homo-Islamicus at a personal level. It is high time to establish an Islamic monetary policy where its function is not limited to transmitting the monetary policy through various channels by using Islamic instruments but also to ensure that equal distribution of wealth by transmitting the policy to the right channels and complimented with prudent fiscal policies by the government. The value of Islamic banks can only be upheld with the spirit of *Maqasid al-Shariah* through the Islamic monetary policy where the real rate of return should be the benchmark for the instrument and mechanism in transmitting the policy signal. A wider context of Islamic monetary system should embrace both the commercial sector (*tijari*) as well as the social sector (*ijtima‘i*) for inclusive growth. The elements of *riba*, *gharar* and *maṣṣir* shall be eliminated from the system consistent with the Shariah rulings. In achieving justice (*al-‘adalah*) in terms of distribution of wealth in the society, instruments such as *waqf* and *sadaqah* can be revolutionised as a new mechanism for the financial and investment inclusion.
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